

iFly final project presentation

What were the main findings for AMFF?

Henk Blom

National Aerospace Laboratory NLR
e-mail: blom@nlr.nl



iFly final project presentation
Berlin Hilton Hotel, June 13, 2011





Autonomous Mediterranean Free Flight (AMFF)



- Future concept developed for traffic over Mediterranean area
- Aircrew gets freedom to select path and speed
- In return aircrew is responsible for self-separation
- Each a/c equipped with ASAS (Airborne Separation Assistance System)
- Conflicts are solved one by one (pilot preference)







Evaluations performed for AMFF

- Real-time pilot-in-the-loop simulations (MFF project)
- Safety Analysis RTCA-D0246 = EurocaeED78a (MFF project)
- Rare Event Monte Carlo simulation (Hybridge project)





Monte Carlo Simulation Scenarios

- Two aircraft encounter under AMFF
- Eight aircraft encounter under AMFF
- Random traffic high density under AMFF

Events measured:

MTC = Medium Term Conflict

STC = Short Term Conflict

MSI = Minimum Separation Infringement


NMAC = Near Mid Air Collision

MAC = Mid Air Collision







Two-aircraft head-on encounter under AMFF and ASAS dependability at baseline values and at factors 10x and 100x better values







Two-aircraft vs. eight-aircraft encounter under AMFF and baseline ASAS dependability












Random traffic under AMFF and traffic density up to 2.5x the density above Frankfurt on 23rd July 1999





AMFF conclusions



- AMFF works great for pilots, as long as they can have trust in the ASAS supporting systems
- AMFF supporting systems should comply with RTCA D0246 (= Eurocae ED78a) identified safety objectives
- Under high en-route traffic demands, AMFF falls short on rare event safety risk
- In order to answer the key question, we need to consider an airborne self separation ConOps that is much more advanced than AMFF

